

# Cumulative Inductive Types in Coq

Amin Timany<sup>1</sup>    Matthieu Sozeau<sup>2</sup>    Bart Jacobs<sup>1</sup>

imec-Distrinet, KU Leuven, Belgium

Inria Paris & IRIF, France

Coq Implementors Workshop – September 18th, 2017

Aarhus, Denmark

- ▶ In higher order dependent type theories:
  - ▶ Types are also terms and hence have a type
  - ▶ Type of all types, as it should be the type of itself, leads to paradoxes, like Girard's paradox
  - ▶ Thus, we have a countably infinite hierarchy of universes (types of types):

$\text{Type}_0, \text{Type}_1, \text{Type}_2, \dots$

where:

$\text{Type}_0 : \text{Type}_1, \text{Type}_1 : \text{Type}_2, \dots$

- ▶ In higher order dependent type theories:
  - ▶ Types are also terms and hence have a type
  - ▶ Type of all types, as it should be the type of itself, leads to paradoxes, like Girard's paradox
  - ▶ Thus, we have a countably infinite hierarchy of universes (types of types):

$$\text{Type}_0, \text{Type}_1, \text{Type}_2, \dots$$

where:

$$\text{Type}_0 : \text{Type}_1, \text{Type}_1 : \text{Type}_2, \dots$$

- ▶ Such a system is cumulative if for any type  $T$  and  $i$ :

$$T : \text{Type}_i \Rightarrow T : \text{Type}_{i+1}$$

- ▶ Example: Predicative Calculus of Inductive Constructions (pCIC), the logic of the proof assistant Coq

- ▶ pCIC has recently been extended with universe polymorphism
  - ▶ Definitions can be polymorphic in universe levels, e.g., categories:

```
Record Category@{i j} : Type@{max(i+1, j+1)} :=  
  { Obj : Type@{i};  
    Hom : Obj → Obj → Type@{j}; ... }.
```

- ▶ pCIC has recently been extended with universe polymorphism
  - ▶ Definitions can be polymorphic in universe levels, e.g., categories:

```
Record Category@{i j} : Type@{max(i+1, j+1)} :=
  { Obj : Type@{i};
    Hom : Obj → Obj → Type@{j}; ... }.
```

- ▶ To keep consistent, universe polymorphic definitions come with constraints, e.g., category of categories:

```
Definition Cat@{i j k l} :=
  { | Obj := Category@{k l};
    Hom := fun C D ⇒ Functor@{k l k l} C D; ... | }
  : Category@{i j}.
```

with constraints:

$$k < i \text{ and } l < i$$

- ▶ For universe polymorphic inductive types, e.g., `Category`, copies are considered
- ▶ With no cumulativity (subtyping), i.e.,  $\text{Category}@i\ j \preceq \text{Category}@k\ 1$  implies  $i = k$  and  $j = 1$

- ▶ For universe polymorphic inductive types, e.g., `Category`, copies are considered
- ▶ With no cumulativity (subtyping), i.e.,  $\text{Category}@\{i\ j\} \preceq \text{Category}@\{k\ 1\}$  implies  $i = k$  and  $j = 1$
- ▶ This means  $\text{Cat}@\{i\ j\ k\ 1\}$  is the category of all categories at  $\{k\ 1\}$  and *not lower*<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>There are however categories isomorphic to the categories in lower levels.

- ▶ Constraints on statements about universe polymorphic inductive definitions restrict to which copies they apply
- ▶ For  $\text{Cat}@\{i\ j\ k\ 1\}$  the fact that it has exponentials has constraints  $j = k = 1$



- ▶ Constraints on statements about universe polymorphic inductive definitions restrict to which copies they apply
- ▶ For  $\text{Cat}@\{i\ j\ k\ 1\}$  the fact that it has exponentials has constraints  $j = k = 1$
- ▶ In particular:

**Definition**  $\text{Type\_Cat}@\{i\ j\} :=$   
 $\{ | \text{Obj} := \text{Type}@\{j\};$   
 $\text{Hom} := \text{fun } A\ B \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B; \dots | \} : \text{Category}@\{i\ j\}.$

with constraints:  $j < i$

- ▶ It is *not* an object of any copy of  $\text{Cat}$  with exponentials!

- ▶ Constraints on statements about universe polymorphic inductive definitions restrict to which copies they apply
- ▶ For  $\text{Cat}@\{i\ j\ k\ 1\}$  the fact that it has exponentials has constraints  $j = k = 1$
- ▶ In particular:

**Definition**  $\text{Type\_Cat}@\{i\ j\} :=$   
 $\{ | \text{Obj} := \text{Type}@\{j\};$   
 $\text{Hom} := \text{fun } A\ B \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B; \dots | \} : \text{Category}@\{i\ j\}.$

with constraints:  $j < i$

- ▶ It is *not* an object of any copy of  $\text{Cat}$  with exponentials!
- ▶ Yoneda embedding can't be simply defined as the exponential transpose of the *hom* functor

- ▶ Inductive types in pCIC:

IND

$$\frac{A \in Ar(s) \quad \Gamma \vdash A : s' \quad \Gamma, X : A \vdash C_i : s \quad C_i \in Co(X)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{Ind}(X : A)\{C_1, \dots, C_n\} : A}$$

$Ar(s)$  is the set of types of the form:  $\prod_{\vec{x}} : \vec{M}. s$

$Co(X)$  is the set of types of the form:  $\prod_{\vec{x}} : \vec{M}. X \vec{m}$

- ▶ Inductive types in pCIC:

IND

$$\frac{A \in Ar(s) \quad \Gamma \vdash A : s' \quad \Gamma, X : A \vdash C_i : s \quad C_i \in Co(X)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{Ind}(X : A)\{C_1, \dots, C_n\} : A}$$

$Ar(s)$  is the set of types of the form:  $\prod_{\vec{x} : \vec{M}} s$

$Co(X)$  is the set of types of the form:  $\prod_{\vec{x} : \vec{M}} X \vec{m}$

No Parameters ( $T$  in  $\text{vec } T \ n$ ) are considered in this rule.

Inductive  $\text{vec } (T : \text{Type}) : \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{Type} := \text{nil} : \text{vec } T \ 0$   
 |  $\text{cons} : \text{forall } n, T \rightarrow \text{vec } T \ n \rightarrow \text{vec } T \ (S \ n).$

- ▶ Inductive types in pCIC:

IND

$$\frac{A \in \text{Ar}(s) \quad \Gamma \vdash A : s' \quad \Gamma, X : A \vdash C_i : s \quad C_i \in \text{Co}(X)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{Ind}(X : A)\{C_1, \dots, C_n\} : A}$$

$\text{Ar}(s)$  is the set of types of the form:  $\prod_{\vec{x} : \vec{M}}. s$

$\text{Co}(X)$  is the set of types of the form:  $\prod_{\vec{x} : \vec{M}}. X \vec{m}$

No Parameters (T in  $\text{vec } T \text{ n}$ ) are considered in this rule.

Inductive  $\text{vec } (T : \text{Type}) : \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{Type} := \text{nil} : \text{vec } T \ 0$   
 |  $\text{cons} : \text{forall } n, T \rightarrow \text{vec } T \ n \rightarrow \text{vec } T \ (S \ n).$

- ▶ Some cumulativity rules in pCIC:

CONV

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \quad \Gamma \vdash B : s \quad A \preceq B}{\Gamma \vdash t : B}$$

C-TYPE

$$\frac{i \leq j}{\text{Type}_i \preceq \text{Type}_j}$$

C-PROD

$$\frac{A \simeq A' \quad B \preceq B'}{\prod x : A. B \preceq \prod x : A'. B'}$$

► **Predicative Calculus of Cumulative Inductive Types (pCuIC):**

C-IND

$$\begin{array}{l}
 I \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \prod \vec{x} : \vec{N}. s) \{ \prod \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}_1. X \vec{m}_1, \dots, \prod \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}_n. X \vec{m}_n \}) \\
 I' \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \prod \vec{x} : \vec{N}'. s') \{ \prod \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}'_1. X \vec{m}'_1, \dots, \prod \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}'_n. X \vec{m}'_n \}) \\
 \quad \forall i. N_i \preceq N'_i \quad \forall i, j. (M_i)_j \preceq (M'_i)_j \\
 \quad \text{length}(\vec{m}) = \text{length}(\vec{x}) \quad \forall i. X \vec{m}_i \simeq X \vec{m}'_i \\
 \hline
 I \vec{m} \preceq I' \vec{m}
 \end{array}$$

► Predicative Calculus of Cumulative Inductive Types (pCuIC):

C-IND

$$\begin{array}{l}
 I \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \Pi \vec{x} : \vec{N}. s) \{ \Pi \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}_1. X \vec{m}_1, \dots, \Pi \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}_n. X \vec{m}_n \}) \\
 I' \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \Pi \vec{x} : \vec{N}'. s') \{ \Pi \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}'_1. X \vec{m}'_1, \dots, \Pi \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}'_n. X \vec{m}'_n \}) \\
 \quad \forall i. N_i \preceq N'_i \quad \forall i, j. (M_i)_j \preceq (M'_i)_j \\
 \quad \text{length}(\vec{m}) = \text{length}(\vec{x}) \quad \forall i. X \vec{m}_i \simeq X \vec{m}'_i \\
 \hline
 I \vec{m} \preceq I' \vec{m}
 \end{array}$$

► **Predicative Calculus of Cumulative Inductive Types (pCuIC):**

C-IND

$$I \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \prod \vec{x} : \vec{N}. s) \{ \prod \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}_1. X \vec{m}_1, \dots, \prod \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}_n. X \vec{m}_n \})$$

$$I' \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \prod \vec{x} : \vec{N}'. s') \{ \prod \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}'_1. X \vec{m}'_1, \dots, \prod \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}'_n. X \vec{m}'_n \})$$

$$\forall i. N_i \preceq N'_i$$

$$\forall i, j. (M_i)_j \preceq (M'_i)_j$$

$$\text{length}(\vec{m}) = \text{length}(\vec{x}) \quad \forall i. X \vec{m}_i \simeq X \vec{m}'_i$$

---


$$I \vec{m} \preceq I' \vec{m}$$



► **Predicative Calculus of Cumulative Inductive Types (pCuIC):**

C-IND

$$I \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \prod \vec{x} : \vec{N}. s) \{ \prod \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}_1. X \vec{m}_1, \dots, \prod \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}_n. X \vec{m}_n \})$$

$$I' \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \prod \vec{x} : \vec{N}'. s') \{ \prod \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}'_1. X \vec{m}'_1, \dots, \prod \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}'_n. X \vec{m}'_n \})$$

$$\forall i. N_i \preceq N'_i \quad \forall i, j. (M_i)_j \preceq (M'_i)_j$$

$$\text{length}(\vec{m}) = \text{length}(\vec{x}) \quad \forall i. X \vec{m}_i \simeq X \vec{m}'_i$$

---


$$I \vec{m} \preceq I' \vec{m}$$

► **Predicative Calculus of Cumulative Inductive Types (pCulC):**

C-IND

$$I \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \Pi \vec{x} : \vec{N}. s) \{ \Pi \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}_1. X \vec{m}_1, \dots, \Pi \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}_n. X \vec{m}_n \})$$

$$I' \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \Pi \vec{x} : \vec{N}'. s') \{ \Pi \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}'_1. X \vec{m}'_1, \dots, \Pi \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}'_n. X \vec{m}'_n \})$$

$$\forall i. N_i \preceq N'_i \quad \forall i, j. (M_i)_j \preceq (M'_i)_j$$

$$\text{length}(\vec{m}) = \text{length}(\vec{x}) \quad \forall i. X \vec{m}_i \simeq X \vec{m}'_i$$

---


$$I \vec{m} \preceq I' \vec{m}$$

► **Predicative Calculus of Cumulative Inductive Types (pCuIC):**

C-IND

$$\begin{array}{l}
 I \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \Pi \vec{x} : \vec{N}. s) \{ \Pi \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}_1. X \vec{m}_1, \dots, \Pi \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}_n. X \vec{m}_n \}) \\
 I' \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \Pi \vec{x} : \vec{N}'. s') \{ \Pi \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}'_1. X \vec{m}'_1, \dots, \Pi \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}'_n. X \vec{m}'_n \}) \\
 \quad \forall i. N_i \preceq N'_i \quad \forall i, j. (M_i)_j \preceq (M'_i)_j \\
 \quad \text{length}(\vec{m}) = \text{length}(\vec{x}) \quad \forall i. X \vec{m}_i \simeq X \vec{m}'_i \\
 \hline
 I \vec{m} \preceq I' \vec{m}
 \end{array}$$

► **Predicative Calculus of Cumulative Inductive Types (pCulC):**

C-IND

$$\begin{array}{l}
 I \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \prod \vec{x} : \vec{N}. s) \{ \prod \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}_1. X \vec{m}_1, \dots, \prod \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}_n. X \vec{m}_n \}) \\
 I' \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \prod \vec{x} : \vec{N}'. s') \{ \prod \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}'_1. X \vec{m}'_1, \dots, \prod \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}'_n. X \vec{m}'_n \}) \\
 \quad \forall i. N_i \preceq N'_i \quad \forall i, j. (M_i)_j \preceq (M'_i)_j \\
 \quad \text{length}(\vec{m}) = \text{length}(\vec{x}) \quad \forall i. X \vec{m}_i \simeq X \vec{m}'_i \\
 \hline
 I \vec{m} \preceq I' \vec{m}
 \end{array}$$

► **Example:**

$$\text{Category}@\{i\ j\} \equiv \text{Ind}(X : \text{Type}_{\max(i+1, j+1)}) \{ \prod o : \text{Type}_i. \prod h : o \rightarrow o \rightarrow \text{Type}_j. \dots \}$$

► **By C-IND:**

$$i \leq k \text{ and } j \leq l \Rightarrow \text{Category}@\{i\ j\} \preceq \text{Category}@\{k\ l\}$$

► **Predicative Calculus of Cumulative Inductive Types (pCuIC):**

C-IND

$$\begin{array}{l}
 I \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \Pi \vec{x} : \vec{N}. s) \{ \Pi \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}_1. X \vec{m}_1, \dots, \Pi \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}_n. X \vec{m}_n \}) \\
 I' \equiv (\text{Ind}(X : \Pi \vec{x} : \vec{N}'. s') \{ \Pi \vec{x}_1 : \vec{M}'_1. X \vec{m}'_1, \dots, \Pi \vec{x}_n : \vec{M}'_n. X \vec{m}'_n \}) \\
 \quad \forall i. N_i \preceq N'_i \quad \forall i, j. (M_i)_j \preceq (M'_i)_j \\
 \quad \text{length}(\vec{m}) = \text{length}(\vec{x}) \quad \forall i. X \vec{m}_i \simeq X \vec{m}'_i \\
 \hline
 I \vec{m} \preceq I' \vec{m}
 \end{array}$$

► **Example:**

$$\text{Category@}\{i\ j\} \equiv \text{Ind}(X : \text{Type}_{\max(i+1, j+1)}) \{ \Pi o : \text{Type}_i. \Pi h : o \rightarrow o \rightarrow \text{Type}_j. \dots \}$$

► **By C-IND:**

$$i \leq k \text{ and } j \leq l \Rightarrow \text{Category@}\{i\ j\} \preceq \text{Category@}\{k\ l\}$$

► **Notice C-IND does not consider parameters or sort of the inductive type**

- ▶ For  $\text{Cat}@\{i\ j\ k\ l\}$  with exponentials we had the constraints:  
 $j = k = l$
- ▶  $\text{Type\_Cat}@\{i'\ j'\}$  we had the constraint:  $j < i$
- ▶ Now  $\text{Type\_Cat}@\{i'\ j'\} : \text{Obj Cat}@\{i\ j\ k\ l\}$  just imposes the constraint:  $i' \leq k \wedge j' \leq l'$

- ▶ Example:

$$\text{list}@{i} (A : \text{Type}_i) \equiv \text{Ind}(X : \text{Type}_i)\{X, A \rightarrow X \rightarrow X\}$$

- ▶ By C-IND:

$$\text{list}@{i} A \preceq \text{list}@{j} A$$

- ▶ Example:

$$\text{list}@\{i\} (A : \text{Type}_i) \equiv \text{Ind}(X : \text{Type}_i)\{X, A \rightarrow X \rightarrow X\}$$

- ▶ By C-IND:

$$\text{list}@\{i\} A \preceq \text{list}@\{j\} A \quad (\text{regardless of } i \text{ and } j)$$



- ▶ Example:

$$\text{list}@\{i\} (A : \text{Type}_i) \equiv \text{Ind}(X : \text{Type}_i)\{X, A \rightarrow X \rightarrow X\}$$

- ▶ By C-IND:

$$\text{list}@\{i\} A \preceq \text{list}@\{j\} A \quad (\text{regardless of } i \text{ and } j)$$

- ▶ In pCuIC we consider *fully applied* inductive types  $I \vec{m}$  and  $I' \vec{m}$  convertible if they are mutually subtypes

$$\frac{\text{CONV-IND} \quad I \vec{m} \preceq I' \vec{m} \quad I' \vec{m} \preceq I \vec{m}}{I \vec{m} \simeq I' \vec{m}}$$

- ▶ Example:

$$\text{list}@\{i\} (A : \text{Type}_i) \equiv \text{Ind}(X : \text{Type}_i)\{X, A \rightarrow X \rightarrow X\}$$

- ▶ By C-IND:

$$\text{list}@\{i\} A \preceq \text{list}@\{j\} A \quad (\text{regardless of } i \text{ and } j)$$

- ▶ In pCulC we consider *fully applied* inductive types  $l \vec{m}$  and  $l' \vec{m}$  convertible if they are mutually subtypes

$$\frac{\text{CONV-IND} \quad l \vec{m} \preceq l' \vec{m} \quad l' \vec{m} \preceq l \vec{m}}{l \vec{m} \simeq l' \vec{m}}$$

- ▶ Examples:

$$i = k \text{ and } j = l \Rightarrow \text{Category}@\{i j\} \simeq \text{Category}@\{k l\}$$

$$\text{list}@\{i\} A \simeq \text{list}@\{j\} A \quad (\text{regardless of } i \text{ and } j)$$

# Demo

This is implemented in Coq!

## Theoretical justification

- ▶ In set theoretic models of pCIC  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket : \text{Terms}_{\text{pCIC}} \rightarrow \text{ZFC}^2$
- ▶ For subtyping  $A \preceq B$  we have  $\llbracket A \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket B \rrbracket$
- ▶ Inductive types interpreted using least fixpoints of **monotone**<sup>3</sup> functions
- ▶ This justifies both C-IND and CONV-IND

---

<sup>2</sup>ZFC with suitable axioms, e.g., inaccessible cardinals or Grothendieck universes, to model pCIC universes

<sup>3</sup>Due to strict positivity condition

Thanks