External Memory Geometric Data Structures

Lars Arge

Duke University

June 28, 2002

Summer School on Massive Datasets

Yesterday

- Fan-out $\Theta(B^{1/c})$ B-tree $(c \geq 1)$
  - Degree balanced tree with each node/leaf in $O(1)$ blocks
  - $O(N/B)$ space
  - $O(\log_B N + T/B)$ I/O query
  - $O(\log_B N)$ I/O update
- Persistent B-tree
  - Update current version, query all previous versions
  - B-tree bounds with N number of operations performed
- Buffer tree technique
  - Lazy update/queries using buffers attached to each node
  - $O(\frac{1}{B}\log_{M/B}\frac{N}{B})$ amortized bounds
  - E.g. used to construct structures in $O(\frac{N}{B}\log_{M/B}\frac{N}{B})$ I/Os

Simplifying Assumption



- Model
  - N : Elements in structure
  - B : Elements per block
  - M : Elements in main memory
  - T : Output size in searching problems
- Assumption
  - Today (and tomorrow) assume that $M > B^2$
  - Assumption not crucial but simplify expressions a lot, e.g.:

$$O(\frac{N}{B}\log_{M/B}\frac{N}{B}) = O(\frac{N}{B}\log_B N)$$

Today

- "Dimension 1.5" problems:
  - More complicated problems: Interval stabbing and point location
  - Looking for same bounds:
    * $O(N/B)$ space
    * $O(\log_B N + T/B)$ query
    * $O(\log_B N)$ update
    * $O(\frac{N}{B}\log_{M/B}\frac{N}{B}) = O(\frac{N}{B}\log_B N)$ construction

- Use of tools/techniques discussed yesterday as well as
  - Logarithmic method
  - Weight-balanced B-trees
  - Global rebuilding

Interval Management

- Problem:
  - Maintain N intervals with unique endpoints dynamically such that stabbing query with point x can be answered efficiently



- As in (one-dimensional) B-tree case we are interested in
  - $O(N/B)$ space
  - $O(\log_B N)$ update
  - $O(\log_B N + T/B)$ query

Interval Management: Static Solution

- Sweep from left to right maintaining persistent B-tree
  - Insert interval when left endpoint is reached
  - Delete interval when right endpoint is reached



- Query x answered by reporting all intervals in B-tree at "time" x
  - $O(N/B)$ space
  - $O(\log_B N + T/B)$ query
  - $O(\frac{N}{B}\log_B N)$ construction using buffer technique
- Dynamic with $O(\log_B^2 N)$ insert bound using logarithmic method

Internal Memory Logarithmic Method Idea

- Given (semi-dynamic) structure D on set V
  - $O(\log N)$ query, $O(\log N)$ delete, $O(N \log N)$ construction
- Logarithmic method:
  - Partition V into subsets $V_0, V_1, \ldots V_{\log N}$, $|V_i| = 2^i$ or $|V_i| = 0$
  - Build D_i on V_i



  * Delete: $O(\log N)$
  * Query: Query each $D_i \Rightarrow O(\log^2 N)$
  * Insert: Find first empty D_i and construct D_i out of

$$1 + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2^j = 2^i \text{ elements in } V_0, V_1, \ldots V_{i-1}$$

    - $O(2^i \log 2^i)$ construction $\Rightarrow O(\log N)$ per moved element
    - Element moved $O(\log N)$ times $\Rightarrow O(\log^2 N)$ amortized

External Logarithmic Method Idea

- Decrease number of subsets V_i to $\log_B N$ to get $O(\log_B^2 N)$ query



- Problem: Since $1+\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} B^j < B^i$ there are not enough elements in $V_0, V_1, \ldots V_{i-1}$ to build V_i
- Solution: We allow V_i to contain any number of elements $\leq B^i$
  - Insert: Find first D_i such that $\sum_{j=0}^{i} |V_j| < B^i$ and construct new D_i from elements in $V_0, V_1, \ldots V_i$
    - We move $\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} |V_j| \geq B^{i-1}$ elements
    - If D_i constructed in $O((|V_i|/B)\log_B |V_i|) = O(B^{i-1}\log_B N)$ I/Os every moved element charged $O(\log_B N)$ I/Os
    - Element moved $O(\log_B N)$ times $\Rightarrow O(\log_B^2 N)$ amortized

External Logarithmic Method Idea

- Given (semi-dynamic) linear space external data structure with
  - $O(\log_B N + T/B)$ I/O query
  - $O(\frac{N}{B}\log_B N)$ I/O construction
  - (– $O(\log_B N)$ I/O delete)

$\Downarrow$

- Linear space dynamic data structure with
  - $O(\log_B^2 N + T/B)$ I/O query
  - $O(\log_B^2 N)$ I/O insert amortized
  - (– $O(\log_B N)$ I/O delete)
- Dynamic interval management
  - $O(\log_B^2 N + T/B)$ I/O query
  - $O(\log_B^2 N)$ I/O insert amortized



Internal Interval Tree



- Base tree on endpoints – "slab" X_v associated with each node v
- Interval stored in highest node v where it contains midpoint of X_v
- Intervals I_v associated with v stored in
  - Left slab list sorted by left endpoint (search tree)
  - Right slab list sorted by right endpoint (search tree)

  $\Rightarrow$ Linear space and $O(\log N)$ update (assuming fixed endpoint set)

Internal Interval Tree



- Query with x on left side of midpoint of X_{root}
  - Search left slab list left-right until finding non-stabbed interval
  - Recurse in left child

$\Rightarrow O(\log N+T)$ query bound

Externalizing Interval Tree



- Natural idea:
  - Block tree
  - Use B-tree for slab lists
- Number of stabbed intervals in large slab list may be small (or zero)
  - We can be forced to do I/O in each of $O(\log N)$ nodes

Externalizing Interval Tree



- Idea:
  - Decrease fan-out to $\Theta(\sqrt{B}) \Rightarrow$ height remains $O(\log_B N)$
  - $\Theta(\sqrt{B})$ slabs define $\Theta(B)$ multislabs
  - Interval stored in two slab lists (as before) and one multislab list
  - Intervals in small multislab lists collected in underflow structure
  - Query answered in v by looking at 2 slab lists and not $O(\log N)$

External Interval Tree

- Base tree: Fan-out $\Theta(\sqrt{B})$ B-tree on endpoints
  - Interval stored in highest node v where it contains slab boundary
- Each internal node v contains:
  - Left slab list for each of $\Theta(\sqrt{B})$ slabs
  - Right slab lists for each of $\Theta(\sqrt{B})$ slabs
  - $\Theta(B)$ multislab lists
  - Underflow structure



- Interval in set I_v of intervals associated with v stored in
  - Left slab list of slab containing left endpoint
  - Right slab list of slab containing right endpoint
  - Widest multislab list it spans



- If $< B$ intervals in multislab list they are instead stored in underflow structure ($\Rightarrow$ contains $\leq B^2$ intervals)

External Interval tree

- Each leaf contains $O(B)$ intervals (unique endpoint assumption)
  - Stored in one $O(1)$ block
- Slab lists implemented using B-trees
  - $O(1+{}^{T_v}\!/_{B})$ query
  - Linear space
    - * We may "wasted" a block for each of the $\Theta(\sqrt{B})$ lists in node
    - * But only $\Theta(\frac{N}{B\sqrt{B}})$ internal nodes
- Underflow structure implemented using static structure
  - $O(\log_B B^2 + {}^{T_v}\!/_{B}) = O(1+{}^{T_v}\!/_{B})$ query
  - Linear space

$\Downarrow$

- Linear space



External Interval Tree

- Query with x
  - Search down tree for x while in node v reporting all intervals in I_v stabbed by x



- In node v
  - Query two slab lists
  - Report all intervals in relevant multislab lists
  - Query underflow structure



- Analysis:
  - Visit $O(\log_B N)$ nodes
  - Query slab lists
  - Query multislab lists
  - Query underflow structure

$$\left.\begin{array}{l}\\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right\} O(1+T_v/B) \left.\begin{array}{l}\\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow O(\log_B N + T/B)$$

External Interval Tree

- Update (assuming fixed endpoint set – static base tree):
  - Search for relevant node
  - Update two slab lists
  - Update multislab list or underflow structure

  } $O(\log_B N)$



- Update of underflow structure in $O(1)$ I/Os amortized
  - Maintain update block with $\leq B$ updates
  - Check of update block adds $O(1)$ I/Os to query bound
  - Rebuild structure when B updates have been collected using $O(\frac{B^2}{B}\log_B B^2) = O(B)$ I/Os (Global rebuilding)

$\Downarrow$

Update in $O(\log_B N)$ I/Os amortized

External Interval Tree

- Note:
  - Insert may increase number of intervals in underflow structure for same multislab to B
  - Delete may decrease number of intervals in multislab to B

  $\Downarrow$

  Need to move B intervals to/from multislab/underflow structure
- We only move
  - intervals from multislab list when decreasing to size $B/2$
  - Intervals to multislab list when increasing to size B

  $\Downarrow$

  $O(1)$ I/Os amortized used to move intervals

Removing Fixed Endpoint Assumption

- We need to use dynamic base tree
  - Natural choice is B-tree
- Insertion:
  - Insert new endpoints and rebalance base tree (using splits)
  - Insert interval as previously in $O(\log_B N)$ I/Os amortized
- Split: Boundary in v becomes boundary in $parent(v)$



Splitting Interval Tree Node



- When v splits we may need to move $O(w(v))$ intervals
  - Intervals in v containing boundary
  - Intervals in *parent*(v) with endpoints in X_v containing boundary
- Intervals move to two new slab and multislab lists in *parent*(v)



Splitting Interval Tree Node



- Moving intervals in v in $O(w(v))$ I/Os
  - Collected in left order (and remove) by scanning left slab lists
  - Collected in right order (and remove) by scanning right slab lists
  - Removed multislab lists containing boundary
  - Remove from underflow structure by rebuilding it
  - Construct lists and underflow structure for v' and v'' similarly

Splitting Interval Tree Node



- Moving intervals in *parent*(v) in $O(w(v))$ I/Os
  - Collect in left order by scanning left slab list
  - Collect in right order by scanning right slab list
  - Merge with intervals collected in $v \Rightarrow$ two new slab lists
  - Construct new multislab lists by splitting relevant multislab list
  - Insert intervals in small multislab lists in underflow structure

Removing Fixed Endpoint Assumption

- Split of node v use $O(w(v))$ I/Os
  - If $\Omega(w(v))$ inserts have to be made below v

    $\Rightarrow O(1)$ amortized split bound

    $\Rightarrow O(\log_B N)$ amortized insert bound

- Nodes in standard B-tree do not have this property



BB[α]-tree

- In internal memory BB[α]-trees have the desired property
- Defined using weight-constraints
  - Ratio between weight of left child an weight of right child of a node v is between α and 1-α

  ⇓

  Height $O(\log N)$
- If $\frac{2}{11} < \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$ rebalancing can be performed using rotations



- Seems hard to implement BB[α]-trees I/O-efficiently

Weight-balanced B-tree

- Idea: Combination of B-tree and BB[α]-tree
  - Weight constraint on nodes instead of degree constraint
  - Rebalancing performed using split/fuse as in B-tree

- Weight-balanced B-tree with parameters a and k ($a>4$, $k>0$)
  - All leaves on same level and contain between k and $2k-1$ elements
  - Internal node v at level l has $w(v) < 2a^l k$
  - Except for the root, internal node v at level l have $w(v) > \frac{1}{2}a^l k$
  - The root has more than one child



Weight-balanced B-tree

- Every internal node has degree between
  $\frac{1}{2}a^l k / 2a^{l-1}k = \frac{1}{4}a$ and $2a^l k / \frac{1}{2}a^{l-1}k = 4a$

  $\Downarrow$

  Height $O(\log_a \frac{N}{k})$



- External memory:
  - Choose $4a=B$ (or even B^c for $0 < c \leq 1$)
  - $2k=B$

  $\Downarrow$

  $O(N/B)$ space, $O(\log_B N)$ query

Weight-balanced B-tree

- Insert:
  - Search and insert element in leaf v
  - If w(v)=$2k$ then split v
  - For each node v on path to root
    if $w(v) > 2a^l k$ then
      split v into two nodes with weight $< 2a^l k - 2a^{l-1}k < \frac{3}{2}a^l k$
      insert element (ref) in *parent(v)*



- Number of splits after insert is $O(\log_a \frac{N}{k})$
- A split level l node will not split for next $\frac{1}{2}a^l k$ inserts below it

$\Downarrow$

Desired property: $\Omega(w(v))$ inserts below v between splits

External Interval Tree

- Use weight-balanced B-tree with $4a = \sqrt{B}$ and $2k=B$ as base structure
  - Space: $O(N/B)$
  - Query: $O(\log_B N + T/B)$
  - Insert: $O(\log_B N)$ I/Os amortized



- Deletes in $O(\log_B N)$ I/Os amortized using global rebuilding:
  - Delete interval as previously using $O(\log_B N)$ I/Os
  - Mark relevant endpoint as deleted
  - Rebuild structure in $O(N \log_B N)$ after $N/2$ deletes

- Note: Deletes can also be handled using fuse operations

External Interval Tree

- External interval tree
  - Space: $O(N/B)$
  - Query: $O(\log_B N + T/B)$
  - Updates: $O(\log_B N)$ I/Os amortized



- Removing amortization:
  - Moving intervals to/from underflow structure
  - Delete global rebuilding
  - Underflow structure update
  - Base node tree splits



Perform operations/construction lazily

Move lazily – complicated:

- Interference
- Queries

Other Applications

- Examples of applications of external interval tree:
  - Practical visualization applications
  - Point location
  - External segment tree
- Examples of applications of weight-balance B-tree
  - Base tree of external data structures
  - Remove amortization from internal structures (alternative to BB[α]-tree)
  - Cache-oblivious structures

Summary: Interval Management

- Interval management corresponds to simple form of *2d* range search
  - Diagonal corner queries
- We obtained the same bounds as for the *1d* case
  - Space: $O(N/B)$
  - Query: $O(\log_B N + T/B)$
  - Updates: $O(\log_B N)$ I/Os



Summary: Interval Management



- Main problem in designing structure:
  - Binary $\rightarrow$ large fan-out
- Large fan-out resulted in the need for
  - Multislabs and multislab lists
  - Underflow structure to avoid $O(B)$-cost in each node

- General solution techniques:
  - Filtering: Charge part of query cost to output
  - Bootstrapping:
    * Use $O(B^2)$ size structure in each internal node
    * Constructed using persistence
    * Dynamic using global rebuilding
  - Weight-balanced B-tree: Split/fuse in amortized $O(1)$

Planar Point Location

- Static problem:
  - Store planar subdivision with N segments on disk such that region containing query point q can be found I/O-efficiently

- We concentrate on vertical ray shooting query
  - Segments can store regions it bounds
  - Segments do not have to form subdivision



- Dynamic problem:
  - Insert/delete segments

Static Solution

- Vertical line imposes above-below order on intersected segments

- Sweep from left to right maintaining persistent B-tree on above-below order
  - Left endpoint: Insert segment
  - Right endpoint: Delete segment



- Query q answered by successor query on B-tree at time q_x
  - $O(N/B)$ space
  - $O(\log_B N + T/B)$ query

Static Solution



- Note: Not all segments comparable!
  - Have to be careful about what we compare

⇓

- Problem: Routing elements in internal nodes of leaf oriented B-trees
  - Luckily we can modify persistent B-tree to use regular elements as routing elements
- However, buffer technique construction cannot be used

⇓

- Only $O(N \log_B N)$ I/O construction algorithm
- Cannot be made dynamic using logarithmic method

Dynamic Point Location

- Structure similar to external interval tree
  - Built on x-projection of segments
- Fan-out $\Theta(\sqrt{B})$ base B-tree on x-coordinates
  - Interval stored in highest node v where it contains slab boundary





Dynamic Point Location



- Linear space in node $v \Rightarrow$ linear space
- Query idea:
  - Search for q_x
  - Answer query in each node v encountered
  - Result is globally closest segment

$\Downarrow$

$O(\log_B N)$ query in each node $\Rightarrow O(\log_B^2 N)$ I/O query

Dynamic Point Location

- Secondary structures:
  - For each slab:
    - * Left slab structure on segments with left endpoint in slab
    - * Right slab structure on segments with right endpoint in slab
  - Multislab structure on part of segments completely spanning slab



Dynamic Point Location

- To answer query we query
  - One left slab structure
  - One right slab structure
  - Multislab structure

  and return globally closest segment



- We need to answer query on each secondary structure in $O(\log_B N)$ I/Os



Left (right) slab Structure

- B-tree on segments sorted by y-coordinate of right endpoint
- Each internal node v augmented with $\Theta(B)$ segments
  - For each child c_v:

    The segment in leaves below c_v with minimal left x-coordinate

    $\Downarrow$

    $O(N/B)$ space (each node fits in block)



- Construction:
  - Sort segments
  - Build level-by-level bottom up

    $\Downarrow$

    $O(\frac{N}{B}\log_{M/B}\frac{N}{B})$ I/Os

Left (right) slab Structure

- Invariant: Search top-down such that i'th step visit nodes v_u and v_d
  - v_u contains answer to upward query among segments on level i
  - v_d contains answer to downward query among segments on level i

  $\Rightarrow$ v_u contains query result when reaching leaf level

- Algorithm: At level i
  - Consider two children of v_u and v_d containing two segments hit on level i
  - Update v_u and v_d to relevant of these nodes base on their segments
- Analysis: $O(1)$ I/Os on each of $O(\log_B N)$ levels



Multislab Structure



- Segments crossing a slab are ordered by above-below order
  - But not all segments are comparable!
- B-tree in each of $\Theta(\sqrt{B})$ slabs on segments crossing the slab

  $\Rightarrow$ query answered in $O(\log_B N)$ I/Os
- Problem: Each segment stored in many structures
- Key idea:
  - Use total order consistent with above-below order in each slab
  - Build one structure on total order

Multislab Structure





- Fan-out $\Theta(\sqrt{B})$ B-tree on total order
- Node v augmented with $\Theta(\sqrt{B})$ segments for each of $\Theta(\sqrt{B})$ children
  - For child v_i and each slab s_i:

    Maximal segment below v_i crossing s_i

  $\Rightarrow O(N/B)$ space (each node v fits in one block)
- $O(\log_B N)$ query as in normal B-tree
  - Only $\Theta(\sqrt{B})$ segments crossing s_i considered in v

Multislab Structure Construction

- Multislab structure constructed in $O(N/B)$ I/Os bottom-up
  - after total order computed
- Sorting:
  - Distribute segments to a list for each multislab
  - Sort lists individually
  - Merge sorted lists: Repeatedly consider top segment all lists and select/output (any) segment not below any of the other segments
- Correctness:
  - Selected top segment cannot be below any unprocessed segment
- Analysis:
  - Distribute/Merge in $O(N/B)$, sort in $O(\frac{N}{B}\log_{M/B}\frac{N}{B})$ I/Os



Dynamic Point Location

- Static point location structure:
  - $O(N/B)$ space
  - $O(\frac{N}{B}\log_B \frac{N}{B})$ I/O construction
  - $O(\log_B^2 N)$ I/O query
- Updates involve:
  - Updating (and rebalance) base tree
  - Updating two slab structures
  - Updating one multislab structure





- Base tree update as in interval tree case using weight-balanced B-tree
  - Inserts: Node split in $O(w(v))$ I/Os
  - Deletes: Global rebuilding

Updating Left (right) Slab Structures

- Recall that each internal node augmented with minimal left x-coordinate segment below each child
- Insert:
  - Insert in leaf l and (B-tree) rebalance
  - Insert segment in relevant nodes on root-l path
- Delete:
  - Delete from leaf l and rebalance as in B-tree
  - Find new minimal x-coordinate segment in l
  - Replace deleted segment in relevant nodes on root-l path



$\Downarrow$

$O(\log_B N)$ update

Updating Multislab Structure

- Problem: Insertion of segment may change total order completely



  – Seems hard to control changes

  ⇓

  Need to rebuild multislab structure completely!

- Segment deletion does not change order $\Rightarrow O(\log_B N)$ I/O delete

Updating Multislab Structure

- Recall that each node in multislab structure is augmented with maximal segment for each child and each slab
  - Deleted segment may be stored in nodes on one root-leaf path
  - Stored segment may correspond to several slabs





- Delete in $O(\log_B N)$ I/Os amortized:
  - Search leaf-root path and replace segment with segment above in relevant slab
  - Relevant replacement segments found in leaf or on path
  - Use global rebuilding to delete from leaf

Dynamic Point Location

- Semi-dynamic point location structure:
  - $O(N/B)$ space
  - $O(\frac{N}{B}\log_B \frac{N}{B})$ I/O construction
  - $O(\log_B^2 N)$ I/O query
  - $O(\log_B N)$ I/O amortized delete

- Using external logarithmic method we get:
  - Space: $O(N/B)$
  - Insert: $O(\log_B^2 N)$ amortized
  - Deletes: $O(\log_B N)$ amortized
  - Query: $O(\log_B^3 N)$
    - Improved to $O(\log_B^2 N)$ (*complicated* – fractional cascading)

Summary: Dynamic Point Location

- Maintain planar subdivision with N segments such that region containing query point q can be found efficiently



- We did not quite obtain desired ($1d$) bounds
  - Space: $O(N/B)$
  - Query: $O(\log_B^2 N)$
  - Insert: $O(\log_B^2 N)$ amortized
  - Deletes: $O(\log_B N)$ amortized

- Structure based on interval tree with use of several techniques, e.g.
  - Weight-balancing, logarithmic method, and global rebuilding
  - Segment sorting and augmented B-trees

Summary

- Today we discussed "dimension 1.5" problems:
  - Interval stabbing and point location
  - We obtained linear space structures with update and query bounds similar to the ones for *1d* structures
- We developed a number of
  - Logarithmic method
  - Weight-balanced B-trees
  - Global rebuilding
- We also used techniques from yesterday:
  - Persistent B-trees
  - Construction using buffer technique

Summary

- Tomorrow we will consider two dimensional problems
  - 3-sided queries
  - Full (4-sided) queries