# Security for the **WoT**

**Niels Olof Bouvin** 

# **Overview**

- The state of IoT security
- Securing and sharing the Web of Things

# The Internet of Things

# Myriad interconnected devices, reporting and controlling

- many different suppliers
- many different architectures and systems
- many different use situations ranging from trivial to absolutely crucial
- many different actors and agendas
- What could *possibly* go wrong?
- Very early days, yet things are not well

### http://www.insecam.org/en/bycountry/DK/

Most popular Manufacturers - Countries - Places - Cities Timezones New online cameras FAQ Contacts E - Google Custom Search

IP cameras: Denmark

#### « 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 ... 18 »

### Maybe, just maybe, you should set a password?





Watch Vivotek camera in Denmark, Tranbjerg



Watch Vivotek camera in Denmark, Silkeborg



Watch PanasonicHD camera in Denmark,Taastrup



Watch Foscam camera in Denmark, Ballerup



Watch Vivotek camera in Denmark,Skanderborg

# Types of attacks

### Denial of Service

- removing ability to use a device or a service
- annoying, if I can't use my IoT toaster; catastrophic, if national power grid is down

### Surveillance

• by the state, by commercial interests, by criminals

### Intrusion

• a root kit on a smart device inside an installation could potentially compromise all devices within network reach

# Fear the IoT\_Reaper

- A new Botnet is attacking surveillance cameras, home routers, NAS boxes, etc, *right now*
  - devices from D-Link, TP-Link, Avtech, Netgear, MikroTik, Linksys, Synology, and others
- Current estimates put the number of infected machines around 1-2 mill.
- It is still growing and it has not yet been used for anything

# How did we get here?

### Many IoT devices have very poor security

- unencrypted traffic
- firmware not being patched—either by the manufactor or the owner
- best security practices not followed

### • They are in homes and companies—*inside* the firewall

- and can thus act as trojan horses or vectors for attacks
- as well as surveillance and industrial espionage
- (this is why *all* communication inside and outside your network should be encrypted)

### • This is not bad. This is really, *really* bad

# **Network level security**

### Challenge: Heterogeneity

- strong cryptography may be straightforward to implement on ordinary computers, but what about much more constrained devices?
- public key infrastructure may be difficult to handle in a large IoT setting
- gateways can handle part of the burden

### Centralistic solution simplest, but also a single of point of failure

• competent actors will act responsible, but that still leaves the rest...

# Privacy

### A user's data should belong to the user

• unless this can be ensured, the IoT can become the perfect surveillance infrastructure

### Centralistic solutions easier to exploit

- how can the user ensure proper treatment of collected data?
- Distributed solutions keep data closer to the user (and their control)
  - but leaves more points to attack

# Identity

- IoT objects must have identities that can be found and authenticated by other services
  - identities can be fixed (5794–118), or fluid (lecture hall for P2P/IoT course)
  - identities can be revealed or hidden (behind authenticated third parties)
- Typical much easier to implement in a centralised system—many challenges remain in a distributed system with ad-hoc connections

# Trust

• How can trust be built?

### One thing is the negotiation between devices

• based on authentication, negotiation, and observation

### Another is the trust of users in the IoT

- transparency
- control

# Fault tolerance

### • Things will go wrong

### The system must cope

- identify errors and failing sensors
- choose alternative sensors or services

### Sometimes systems will come under attack

- identify compromised systems
- route around damage

# Summary

- Security and privacy are major requirements for a successful IoT
- So far, there have been plenty of examples of early IoT systems susceptible to attacks
- Clearly, this will have to change
- Industry standards and/or government regulations
- Who owns the data?
  - the generator of the data?
  - the provider of the service?

# **Overview**

- The state of IoT security
- Securing and sharing the Web of Things

# The elements of a secure Thing

- Encrypted communications
- Authenticated servers
- Authenticated clients
- Secure access control
- Secure software updates

# **Encrypted communications**

- The basic requirement
- Provided that keys are exchanged securely, this should ensure no eavesdropping
- Asymmetric and symmetric encryption combined

# **Authenticated server**

- We need to know the Thing is the *actual* Thing
  - HTTPS and TLS to the rescue
- Keys can be generated locally, and HTTPS support is in Node.js
- <u>https://letsencrypt.org/</u> provides free
  certificates



### Authenticated client/user

### Some IoT devices may not need user authentication

• weather stations, public sensors, ...

### Others certainly require it

• cameras, anything with an actuator, anything privacy sensitive

# Letting users in

### • Simplest approach: create user profiles with

- user names
- passwords
- privileges
- etc
- Require authentication over secure connection before access is granted
- Access, once granted, handled through a token
  - generated by the server
  - exchanged in headers between client and server

# OAuth

- Rather than having users remember yet another password (and having to store that securely), let users connect using preexisting identities
- The user authenticates themselves to a known service, and that service then authorises access to their API for that user from your server
- Not a perfect system—users have been fooled by phishing attacks with sites purporting to be, e.g., Google Docs requesting authorisation
  - though this is no different than ordinary phishing attacks

# **Roles in OAuth**

### The application

• the application needs access to some part of the user's account for, e.g., identity

### • The resource server

• provides the API for accessing the user's account

### The authorisation server

• handles the interaction with the user granting/denying access (i.e., login to Twitter)

### The resource owner

• the user, who is granting/denying access to part of their account at the resource server

# Requisites

- The application must be registered with the authorisation server, which provides
  - client id (this can be public information)
  - client secret (this cannot)

### The application must provide an redirection URL

• which must be secure, e.g., HTTPS

- The interaction between a user, an application, and Facebook authorisation & content servers
- Tokens exchanged through redirects
- Given the access token, the application can access the Facebook API



# The Social Web of Things

- Using OAuth helps with authorisation and identities of users
- Having each and every Thing maintain lists of users is a bit cumbersome though...
- An Authentication Proxy could handle this interaction
  - registering all the Things
  - handling access to the authorisation servers through OAuth
- One Authentication Proxy for, e.g., a building, a company, or a home

# **SWoT flow**

 Using the token generated, the user can then access the protected resources

• in *Fahrenheit?* 



# User identity is not enough

- User roles must also be defined, also known as Access Control Lists
- Some users can be administrators, others cannot or should not

# So... what if there is a bug?

- Software contains bugs, and so will IoT devices
- Providing a secure mechanism to push software updates out to devices becomes crucial
  - if the software is not updated, security holes may not be patched, and new features cannot be added
  - if the update mechanism is compromised, the device can be loaded with malware by criminals
  - one solution is an App Store with automatic downloads

### • <u>resin.io</u> provides such a service

- based on git, node.js and/or Docker
- and it's free, if you have no more than 10 devices

# Security is tricky

### • Security is not an end goal—it is a process

- all software contains bugs, including security software, so we must adapt over time
- the web stack is the most used in the world, so its security will receive much scrutiny
- Many IoT devices provide terrible security, which puts only the devices and their users at risk, but the entire Internet, if these devices are weaponised into botnets
- Therefore: do better, be careful, follow best practices