Efficient Oblivious Transfer Extensions and Applications



Thomas Schneider (TU Darmstadt)

based on joint works with Michael Zohner (TU Darmstadt) Gilad Asharov, Yehuda Lindell (Bar-Ilan University) Julien Bringer, Hervé Chabanne, Mélanie Favre, Alain Patey (Morpho)

MPC Workshop Aarhus, May 5-9, 2014



Secure Computation





This Talk: Semi-Honest Adversaries



2014-05 | MPC Workshop Aarhus | Efficient Oblivious Transfer Extensions and Applications | Thomas Schneider | Slide 2

Example Privacy-Preserving Applications



Auctions [NaorPS99], ...

Your PC ran into a problem that it couldn't handle, and now it needs to restart.

Remote Diagnostics [BrickellPSW07], ...



DNA Searching [Troncoso-PastorizaKC07], ...



Biometric Identification [ErkinFGKLT09], ...



Medical Diagnostics [BarniFKLSs09], ...



Oblivious Transfer (OT)





OT is used in many secure computation protocols.



EC SPRIDE



EC SPRIDE

The GMW Protocol [Goldreich/Micali/Wigderson'87]

Secret share inputs:

Non-Interactive XOR gates: $c_1 = a_1 \oplus b_1$; $c_2 = a_2 \oplus b_2$

Interactive AND gates:

Recombine outputs:

 $d = d_1 \oplus d_2$

a = a₁

 $b = b_1$

 $c_1, b_1 \longrightarrow d_1 \longleftarrow$

 \oplus

 \oplus

AND

 a_2

 b_2

 c_2, b_2 d_2



EC SPRIDE



/





How to Measure Efficiency of a Protocol?



Runtime (depends on implementation & scenario)

- Communication
 - # rounds (important for networks with high latency)
 - # bits sent (important for networks with low bandwidth)
- **?** Computation
 - Usually: count # crypto operations, e.g.,
 - # modular exponentiations
 - # point multiplications
 - # hash function evaluations (SHA)
 - # block cipher evaluations (AES)
 - # OTP operations
 - What about non-cryptographic operations?













Part 1: Efficient OT Extensions



G. Asharov, Y. Lindell, T. Schneider, M. Zohner: *More efficient oblivious transfer and extensions for faster secure computation.* In ACM CCS'13.



2014-05 | MPC Workshop Aarhus | Efficient Oblivious Transfer Extensions and Applications | Thomas Schneider | Slide 9

OT - Bad News



- [ImpagliazzoRudich'89]: there's no black-box reduction from OT to OWFs
- Several OT protocols based on public-key cryptography
 e.g., [NaorPinkas'01] yields ~1,000 OTs per second
- Since public-key crypto is expensive, OT was believed to be inefficient





OT - Good News



- [Beaver'95]: OTs can be pre-computed (only OTP in online phase)
- OT Extensions (similar to hybrid encryption): use symmetric crypto to stretch few "real" OTs into longer/many OTs
 - [Beaver'96]: OT on long strings from short seeds
 - [IshaiKNP'03]: many OTs from few OTs





OT Extension of [IKNP'03] (1)



EC SPRIDE

- Alice inputs *m* pairs of ℓ -bit messages ($x_{i,0}$, $x_{i,1}$)
- Bob inputs *m*-bit string *r* and obtains x_{i,r_i} in *i*-th OT





OT Extension of [IKNP'03] (2)



- Alice and Bob perform *k* "real" OTs on random seeds with reverse roles (*k*: security parameter)





OT Extension of [IKNP'03] (3)



- Bob generates a random $m \times k$ bit matrix T and masks his choices r
- The matrix is masked with the stretched seeds of the "real" OTs



PRG: pseudo-random generator (instantiated with AES)



OT Extension of [IKNP'03] (4)



- Transpose matrices V and T
- Alice masks her inputs and obliviously sends them to Bob







H: correlation robust function (instantiated with hash function)







Algorithmic Optimization Efficient Bit-Matrix Transposition



- Naive matrix transposition performs *mk* load/process/store operations
- Eklundh's algorithm reduces number of operations to $O(m \log_2 k)$ swaps
 - Swap whole registers instead of bits
 - Transposing 10 times faster





Algorithmic Optimization Parallelized OT Extension



- OT extension can easily be parallelized by splitting the T matrix into sub-matrices

- Since columns are independent, OT is highly parallelizable





Communication Complexity of OT Extension







Protocol Optimization General OT Extension



- Instead of generating a random T matrix, we derive it from $s_{j,0}$
- Reduces data sent by Bob by factor 2





Specific OT Functionalities



- Secure computation protocols often require a specific OT functionality
 - Yao with free XORs requires strings x_0 , x_1 to be XOR-correlated
 - GMW with multiplication triples can use random strings





- Random OT: random x_0 and x_1





Specific OT Functionalities Correlated OT Extension (C-OT)



EC SPRIDE

- Choose $x_{i,0}$ as random output of *H* (modeled as RO here)
- Compute $x_{i,1}$ as $x_{i,0} \oplus x_i$ to obliviously transfer XOR-correlated values
- Reduces data sent by Alice by factor 2



Specific OT Functionalities Random OT Extension (R-OT)



- Choose $x_{i,0}$ and $x_{i,1}$ as random outputs of H (modeled as RO here)
- No data sent by Alice





Performance Evaluation Original Implementation





- C++ implementation of [SZ'13] implementing OT extension of [IKNP'03]
- Performance for 10 Mio. OTs on 80-bit strings



Performance Evaluation Efficient Matrix Transposition





- Efficient matrix transposition improved computation
- Only decreases runtime in LAN where computation is the bottleneck





Performance Evaluation General Oblivious Transfer





- Generate T matrix from seeds improved communication $Bob \rightarrow Alice$
- Runtimes only slightly faster (bottleneck: communication Alice \rightarrow Bob)



Performance Evaluation Correlated/Random Oblivious Transfer





- Correlated/Random OT improved communication Alice \rightarrow Bob
- WiFi runtime faster by factor 2 (bottleneck: communication $Bob \rightarrow Alice$)



Performance Evaluation Parallelized Oblivious Transfer





- Parallel OT extension with 2 and 4 threads – improved computation

- LAN runtime decreases linear in # of threads
- WiFi runtime remains the same (bottleneck: communication)



Performance Evaluation Conclusion





- OT is very efficient

- Communication is the bottleneck for OT (even without using AES-NI)



Part 2: Applications of OT Extensions



J. Bringer, H. Chabanne, M. Favre, A. Patey, T. Schneider, M. Zohner: *GSHADE: Faster privacy-preserving distance computation and biometric identification.* In ACM IH&MMSEC'14.



2014-05 | MPC Workshop Aarhus | Efficient Oblivious Transfer Extensions and Applications | Thomas Schneider | Slide 30

Applications of OT Extensions



Many secure computation protocols need millions of OTs

- Generic secure computation protocols based on OT
 - Yao: OT per input
 - GMW: OT per AND gate
- Special purpose protocols based on OT
 - Private Set Intersection (see Benny's talk)
 - Bloom-Filter Set-Intersection [Dong/Chen/Wen CCS'13]
 - Novel PSI Protocols
 - Privacy-Preserving Biometric Identification (see next)
 - Hamming Distance for Face Recognition: SHADE [BringerCP'13]
 - Further Distance Metrics: Generalized SHADE (GSHADE)



Privacy-Preserving Face Recognition





Task: Check if query face is *similar* to a face in the DB.

- without revealing the query to the server
- without revealing the DB to the client







Compute Hamming distance of ℓ =900 bit strings and compare with threshold.





SHADE



Secure Hamming Dist. computation from OT [Bringer/Chabanne/Patey'13] Goal: compute HD(X,Y) = $\Sigma(x_i \oplus y_i)$, i=1.. ℓ



Continue with generic MPC protocol (e.g., Yao or GMW) from T - R = HD(X,Y) ...



GSHADE: Optimizations and Generalization of SHADE



- For multiple HD computations: HD(X,Y₁), HD(X,Y₂), ...:
 Same number of OTs, but on longer strings
- Use correlated OT (C-OT) to improve communication
- Generalize to larger class of functions $f(X,Y) = f_X(X) + f_Y(Y) + \Sigma f_i(x_i,Y)$
 - Hamming Distance: $f_X=f_Y=0$, $f_i(x_i, Y)=x_i \oplus y_i$
 - Squared Euclidean Distance (for face & fingerprint recognition):
 f_X(X)=Σx_i², f_Y(Y)=Σy_i², f_i(x_i,Y)=-2x_iy_i
 - Normalized Hamming Distance (for iris recognition)
 - Squared Mahalanobis Distance

(for hand shape, keystroke, signature recognition)



GSHADE Protocol



Goal: compute $f(X,Y) = f_X(X) + f_Y(Y) + \Sigma f_i(x_i,Y)$



Continue with generic MPC from T - R = f(X,Y) = ...



Performance of GSHADE



Compare biometric sample with DB of **5,000** entries.

Algorithm	Time in s	Communication in MB
SCiFI	1.0	6.2
Eigenfaces	5.0	83.6
FingerCodes	6.7	67.5
IrisCodes	9.1	56.4



Performance for SCiFI: Runtime



Numbers taken from papers (not measured on same machines).



EC SPRIDE





Summary



Conclusion

- OT is very efficient due to OT extensions
- Many interesting applications can be built on OT

Future Work

- Further optimize communication of OT / secure computation
- More applications based directly on OT
- Extend to stronger adversary models



Efficient Oblivious Transfer Extensions and Applications



Thanks for your attention.

Questions?

Contact: http://encrypto.de

