

The space of measurement outcomes as a spectrum for a non-commutative algebras

Bas Spitters

Radboud University Nijmegen

PSSL91

26 November 2010

Goal

Relate algebraic quantum mechanics to topos theory to construct new foundations for quantum logic and quantum spaces.
— A spectrum for non-commutative algebras —

Classical physics

Standard presentation of classical physics:

A *phase space* Σ .

E.g. $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ (position, momentum)

Classical physics

Standard presentation of classical physics:

A *phase space* Σ .

E.g. $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ (position, momentum)

An *observable* is a function $a : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
(e.g. position or energy)

Classical physics

Standard presentation of classical physics:

A *phase space* Σ .

E.g. $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ (position, momentum)

An *observable* is a function $a : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

(e.g. position or energy)

An observable a and an interval $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ together define a *proposition* ' $a \in \Delta$ ' by the set $a^{-1}\Delta$.

Spatial logic: logical connectives \wedge, \vee, \neg are interpreted by \cap, \cup , complement

Classical physics

Standard presentation of classical physics:

A *phase space* Σ .

E.g. $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ (position, momentum)

An *observable* is a function $a : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

(e.g. position or energy)

An observable a and an interval $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ together define a *proposition* ' $a \in \Delta$ ' by the set $a^{-1}\Delta$.

Spatial logic: logical connectives \wedge, \vee, \neg are interpreted by \cap, \cup , complement

For a phase σ in Σ ,

$\sigma \models a \in \Delta$ (in the phase σ the proposition $a \in \Delta$ holds) iff $a(\sigma) \in \Delta$

Heunen, Landsman, S generalization to the quantum setting by

1. Identifying a quantum phase 'space' Σ .
2. Defining 'subsets' of Σ acting as propositions of quantum mechanics.
3. Describing states in terms of Σ .
4. Associating a proposition $a \in \Delta$ ($\subset \Sigma$) to an observable a and an open subset $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.
5. Finding a pairing map between states and 'subsets' of Σ (and hence between states and propositions of the type $a \in \Delta$).

Old-style quantum logic

von Neumann proposed:

1. A quantum phase space is a Hilbert space H .
2. Elementary propositions correspond to closed linear subspaces of H .
3. Pure states are unit vectors in H .
4. The closed linear subspace $[a \in \Delta]$ is the image $E(\Delta)H$ of the spectral projection $E(\Delta)$ defined by a and Δ .
5. The pairing map takes values in $[0, 1]$ and is given by the Born rule:

$$\langle \Psi, P \rangle = (\Psi, P\Psi).$$

Old-style quantum logic

von Neumann proposed:

1. A quantum phase space is a Hilbert space H .
2. Elementary propositions correspond to closed linear subspaces of H .
3. Pure states are unit vectors in H .
4. The closed linear subspace $[a \in \Delta]$ is the image $E(\Delta)H$ of the spectral projection $E(\Delta)$ defined by a and Δ .
5. The pairing map takes values in $[0, 1]$ and is given by the Born rule:

$$\langle \Psi, P \rangle = (\Psi, P\Psi).$$

Von Neumann later abandoned this.

No implication, no deductive system.

Bohrification

In classical physics we have a **spatial** logic.

Want the same for quantum physics. So we consider two generalizations of topological spaces:

- ▶ C^* -algebras (Connes' non-commutative geometry)
- ▶ toposes and locales (Grothendieck)

We connect the two generalizations by:

1. *Algebraic quantum theory*
2. *Constructive Gelfand duality*
3. *Bohr's doctrine of classical concepts*

Classical concepts

Bohr's "doctrine of classical concepts" states that we can only look at the quantum world through classical glasses, measurement merely providing a "classical snapshot of reality". The combination of all such snapshots should then provide a complete picture.

HLS proposal

Let A be a C^* -algebra.

The set of as 'classical contexts', 'windows on the world':

$\mathcal{C}(A) := \{V \subseteq A \mid V \text{ commutative } C^*\text{-algebra}\}$ ordered by inclusion.

HLS proposal

Let A be a C^* -algebra.

The set of as 'classical contexts', 'windows on the world':

$\mathcal{C}(A) := \{V \subseteq A \mid V \text{ commutative } C^*\text{-algebra}\}$ ordered by inclusion.

The **associated topos** is $\mathcal{T}(A) := \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(A)}$

1. The quantum phase space of the system described by A is the locale $\underline{\Sigma} \equiv \underline{\Sigma}(A)$ in the topos $\mathcal{T}(A)$.
2. Propositions about A are the 'opens' in $\underline{\Sigma}$. The quantum logic of A is given by the Heyting algebra underlying $\underline{\Sigma}(A)$.
Each projection defines such an open.
3. Observables $a \in A_{\text{sa}}$ define locale maps $\delta(a) : \underline{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{IR}$, where \mathbb{IR} is the so-called **interval domain**. States ρ on A yield probability measures (valuations) μ_ρ on $\underline{\Sigma}$.
4. The frame map $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{IR}) \xrightarrow{\delta(a)^{-1}} \mathcal{O}(\underline{\Sigma})$ applied to an open interval $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ yields the desired proposition.
5. State-proposition pairing is defined as $\mu_\rho(P) = 1$.

HLS proposal

Let A be a C^* -algebra.

The set of as 'classical contexts', 'windows on the world':

$\mathcal{C}(A) := \{V \subseteq A \mid V \text{ commutative } C^*\text{-algebra}\}$ ordered by inclusion.

The **associated topos** is $\mathcal{T}(A) := \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(A)}$

1. The quantum phase space of the system described by A is the locale $\underline{\Sigma} \equiv \underline{\Sigma}(A)$ in the topos $\mathcal{T}(A)$.
2. Propositions about A are the 'opens' in $\underline{\Sigma}$. The quantum logic of A is given by the Heyting algebra underlying $\underline{\Sigma}(A)$. Each projection defines such an open.
3. Observables $a \in A_{\text{sa}}$ define locale maps $\delta(a) : \underline{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{I}\mathbb{R}$ is the so-called **interval domain**. States ρ on A yield probability measures (valuations) μ_ρ on $\underline{\Sigma}$.
4. The frame map $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\delta(a)^{-1}} \mathcal{O}(\underline{\Sigma})$ applied to an open interval $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ yields the desired proposition.
5. State-proposition pairing is defined as $\mu_\rho(P) = 1$.

Motivation: [Doering-Isham use topos theory for quantum theory.](#) 



Gelfand duality

There is a categorical equivalence (**Gelfand duality**):

$$\mathbf{Comm}\mathbf{C}^* \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{C(-, \mathbb{C})} \end{array} \mathbf{CptHd}^{\text{op}}$$

The structure space $\Sigma(A)$ is called the Gelfand **spectrum** of A .

C*-algebras

Now drop commutativity: a **C*-algebra** is a complex Banach algebra with involution $(-)^*$ satisfying $\|a^* \cdot a\| = \|a\|^2$.

Slogan: C*-algebras are non-commutative topological spaces.

C*-algebras

Now drop commutativity: a **C*-algebra** is a complex Banach algebra with involution $(-)^*$ satisfying $\|a^* \cdot a\| = \|a\|^2$.

Slogan: C*-algebras are non-commutative topological spaces.

Prime example:

$B(H) = \{f : H \rightarrow H \mid f \text{ bounded linear}\}$, for H Hilbert space.

is a complex vector space: $(f + g)(x) := f(x) + g(x)$,
 $(z \cdot f)(x) := z \cdot f(x)$,

is an associative algebra: $f \cdot g := f \circ g$,

is a Banach algebra: $\|f\| := \sup\{\|f(x)\| : \|x\| = 1\}$,

has an involution: $\langle fx, y \rangle = \langle x, f^*y \rangle$

satisfies: $\|f^* \cdot f\| = \|f\|^2$,

but **not** necessarily: $f \cdot g = g \cdot f$.

Slogan: C*-algebras are non-commutative topological spaces.

Toposes

Let A be a C^* -algebra. Put

$$\mathcal{C}(A) := \{V \subseteq A \mid V \text{ commutative } C^*\text{-algebra}\}.$$

It is a order under inclusion. Elements V can be viewed as 'classical contexts', 'windows on the world'

The **associated topos** is the functor topos:

$$\mathcal{T}(A) := \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(A)}$$

Sets varying over the classical contexts.

Internal C^* -algebra

Internal C^* -algebras in $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{C}}$ are functors of the form $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{CStar}$.
'Bundle of C^* -algebras'.

We define the **Bohrification** of A as the internal C^* -algebra

$$\underline{A} : \mathcal{C}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set},$$
$$V \mapsto V.$$

in the topos $\mathcal{T}(A) = \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}(A)}$, where
 $\mathcal{C}(A) := \{V \subseteq A \mid V \text{ commutative } C^*\text{-algebra}\}.$

The internal C^* -algebra \underline{A} is commutative!

This reflects our Bohrian perspective.

Kochen-Specker

Theorem (**Kochen-Specker**): no hidden variables in quantum mechanics.

Kochen-Specker

Theorem (**Kochen-Specker**): no hidden variables in quantum mechanics.

More precisely: All observables having definite values contradicts that the values of those variables are intrinsic and independent of the device used to measure them.

Kochen-Specker

Theorem (**Kochen-Specker**): no hidden variables in quantum mechanics.

More precisely: All observables having definite values contradicts that the values of those variables are intrinsic and independent of the device used to measure them.

Mathematically:

It is impossible to assign a value to every observable:
there is no $v : A_{sa} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $v(a^2) = v(a)^2$

Kochen-Specker

Theorem (**Kochen-Specker**): no hidden variables in quantum mechanics.

More precisely: All observables having definite values contradicts that the values of those variables are intrinsic and independent of the device used to measure them.

Mathematically:

It is impossible to assign a value to every observable:

there is no $v : A_{sa} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $v(a^2) = v(a)^2$

Isham-Döring: a certain *global* section does not exist.

We can still have **neo-realistic** interpretation by considering also non-global sections.

These global sections turn out to be **global points** of the internal Gelfand spectrum of the Bohrfication \underline{A} .

Pointfree Topology

We want to consider the phase space of the Bohrfication.

Use internal **constructive** Gelfand duality.

The classical proof of Gelfand duality uses the axiom of choice (only) to construct the points of the spectrum.

Solution: use topological spaces without points (locales)!

Pointfree Topology

Choice is used to construct **ideal** points (e.g. max. ideals).
Avoiding points one can avoid choice and non-constructive reasoning (Joyal, Mulvey, Coquand).

Slogan: **using the axiom of choice is a choice!**

(Tychonoff, Krein-Millman, Alaoglu, Hahn-Banach, Gelfand, Zariski, ...)

Point free approaches to topology:

- ▶ Pointfree topology (formal opens)
- ▶ Commutative C^* -algebras (formal continuous functions)

Pointfree Topology

Choice is used to construct **ideal** points (e.g. max. ideals).
Avoiding points one can avoid choice and non-constructive reasoning (Joyal, Mulvey, Coquand).

Slogan: **using the axiom of choice is a choice!**

(Tychonoff, Krein-Millman, Alaoglu, Hahn-Banach, Gelfand, Zariski, ...)

Point free approaches to topology:

- ▶ Pointfree topology (formal opens)
- ▶ Commutative C^* -algebras (formal continuous functions)

These formal objects model basic observations:

- ▶ Formal opens are used in computer science (domains) to model observations.
- ▶ Formal continuous functions, self adjoint operators, are observables in quantum theory.

Phase object in a topos

Phase space = constructive Gelfand dual Σ (**spectrum**) of the Bohrification. (motivated by Döring-Isham).

Kochen-Specker = Σ has no (global) point.

However, Σ is a well-defined interesting compact regular locale.

Pointless topological space of hidden variables.

States in a topos

An integral is a pos lin functional I on a commutative C^* -algebra, with $I(1) = 1$.

A state is a pos lin functional ρ on a C^* -algebra, with $\rho(1) = 1$.

In the foundations of QM one uses quasi-states (linear only on commutative parts)

Theorem(Gleason): Quasi-states = states ($\dim H > 2$)

States in a topos

An integral is a pos lin functional I on a commutative C^* -algebra, with $I(1) = 1$.

A state is a pos lin functional ρ on a C^* -algebra, with $\rho(1) = 1$.

In the foundations of QM one uses quasi-states (linear only on commutative parts)

Theorem(Gleason): Quasi-states = states ($\dim H > 2$)

Theorem: There is a one-to-one correspondence between (quasi)-states of A and integrals on $C(\Sigma)$ in \underline{A} .

States in a topos

An integral is a pos lin functional I on a commutative C^* -algebra, with $I(1) = 1$.

A state is a pos lin functional ρ on a C^* -algebra, with $\rho(1) = 1$.

In the foundations of QM one uses quasi-states (linear only on commutative parts)

Theorem(Gleason): Quasi-states = states ($\dim H > 2$)

Theorem: There is a one-to-one correspondence between (quasi)-states of A and integrals on $C(\Sigma)$ in \underline{A} .

Segal-Kunze developed integration theory using states, with intended interpretation:

an expectation defined on an algebra of observables.

We will present a variation on this.

Constructive integration

Integral on commutative C^* -algebras of functions
(Daniell, Segal/Kunze)

An **integral** is a positive linear functional on a space of continuous functions on a topological space

Prime example: Lebesgue integral \int

Linear: $\int af + bg = a \int f + b \int g$

Positive: If $f(x) \geq 0$ for all x , then $\int f \geq 0$

Constructive integration

Integral on commutative C^* -algebras of functions
(Daniell, Segal/Kunze)

An **integral** is a positive linear functional on a space of continuous functions on a topological space

Prime example: Lebesgue integral \int

Linear: $\int af + bg = a \int f + b \int g$

Positive: If $f(x) \geq 0$ for all x , then $\int f \geq 0$

Other example: Dirac measure $\delta_t(f) := f(t)$.

Riesz representation theorem

Riesz representation: Integral = Regular measure = Valuation

A valuation is a map $\mu : O(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is lower semicontinuous and satisfies the modular laws.

Theorem (Coquand/Spitters)

The locales of integrals and valuations are homeomorphic.

Proof The integrals form a compact regular locale, presented by a *geometric* theory. Only (\wedge, \vee) .

Similarly for the theory of valuations.

By the classical RRT the models(=points) are in bijective correspondence.

Hence by the completeness theorem for geometric logic

(Truth in all models \Rightarrow provability)

we obtain a bi-interpretation/a homeomorphism.

Riesz representation theorem

Riesz representation: Integral = Regular measure = Valuation

A valuation is a map $\mu : O(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is lower semicontinuous and satisfies the modular laws.

Theorem (Coquand/Spitters)

The locales of integrals and valuations are homeomorphic.

Proof The integrals form a compact regular locale, presented by a *geometric* theory. Only (\wedge, \vee) .

Similarly for the theory of valuations.

By the classical RRT the models(=points) are in bijective correspondence.

Hence by the completeness theorem for geometric logic

(Truth in all models \Rightarrow provability)

we obtain a bi-interpretation/a homeomorphism.

Once we have first-order formulation (no DC), we obtain a transparent constructive proof by ‘cut-elimination’.

Giry monad in domain theory in logical form (cf Jung/Moshier)

Valuations

This allows us to move *internally* from integrals to valuations.
Integrals are internal representations of states
Valuations are internal representations of measures on projections
(Both are standard QM)

Valuations

This allows us to move *internally* from integrals to valuations.
Integrals are internal representations of states
Valuations are internal representations of measures on projections
(Both are standard QM)

Thus an open ' $\delta(a) \in \Delta$ ' can be assigned a probability. In general, this probability is only partially defined, it is in the interval domain.

Externalizing

There is an **external** locale Σ such that $Sh(\underline{\Sigma})$ in $\mathcal{T}(A)$ is equivalent to $Sh(\Sigma)$ in Set .

HLS proposal for **intuitionistic quantum logic**.

When applied to the lattice of projections of a Hilbert space we turn old style quantum logic into a Heyting algebra.

Externalizing

There is an **external** locale Σ such that $Sh(\underline{\Sigma})$ in $\mathcal{T}(A)$ is equivalent to $Sh(\Sigma)$ in Set .

HLS proposal for **intuitionistic quantum logic**.

When applied to the lattice of projections of a Hilbert space we turn old style quantum logic into a Heyting algebra.

Problem: $\Sigma(C(X))$ is not X . Here we propose a refinement.

First, a concrete computation of a basis for the Heyting algebra.

Externalization

Theorem (Moerdijk)

Let \mathbb{C} be a site in \mathcal{S} and \mathbb{D} be a site in $\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{C}]$, the topos of sheaves over \mathbb{C} . Then there is a site $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{C}][\mathbb{D}] = \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D}].$$

Presentation using forcing conditions

$\mathcal{C}(A) := \{C \mid C \text{ is a commutative } C^*\text{-subalgebra of } A\}$.

Let $\mathbb{C} := \mathcal{C}(A)^{\text{op}}$ and $\mathbb{D} = \Sigma$ the spectrum of the Bohrification.

Presentation using forcing conditions

$\mathcal{C}(A) := \{C \mid C \text{ is a commutative } C^*\text{-subalgebra of } A\}$.

Let $\mathbb{C} := \mathcal{C}(A)^{\text{op}}$ and $\mathbb{D} = \Sigma$ the spectrum of the Bohrification.

We compute $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D}$:

The objects (forcing conditions): (C, u) ,
where $C \in \mathcal{C}(A)$ and $u \in \Sigma(C)$.

Presentation using forcing conditions

$\mathcal{C}(A) := \{C \mid C \text{ is a commutative } C^*\text{-subalgebra of } A\}$.

Let $\mathbb{C} := \mathcal{C}(A)^{\text{op}}$ and $\mathbb{D} = \Sigma$ the spectrum of the Bohrification.

We compute $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D}$:

The objects (forcing conditions): (C, u) ,

where $C \in \mathcal{C}(A)$ and $u \in \Sigma(C)$.

Information order $(D, v) \leq (C, u)$ as $D \supset C$ and $v \subset u$.

Presentation using forcing conditions

$\mathcal{C}(A) := \{C \mid C \text{ is a commutative } C^*\text{-subalgebra of } A\}$.

Let $\mathbb{C} := \mathcal{C}(A)^{\text{op}}$ and $\mathbb{D} = \Sigma$ the spectrum of the Bohrification.

We compute $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D}$:

The objects (forcing conditions): (C, u) ,

where $C \in \mathcal{C}(A)$ and $u \in \Sigma(C)$.

Information order $(D, v) \leq (C, u)$ as $D \supset C$ and $v \subset u$.

Covering relation $(C, u) \triangleleft (D_i, v_i)$: for all i , $C \subset D_i$ and

$C \Vdash u \triangleleft V$, where V is the pre-sheaf generated by the conditions $D_i \Vdash v_i \in V$. This is a Grothendieck topology.

Theorem

*The points of the locale generated by $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D}$ are consistent ideals of **partial** measurement outcomes.*

Proof: the sites give a direct description of the geometric theory

Presentation using forcing conditions

$\mathcal{C}(A) := \{C \mid C \text{ is a commutative } C^*\text{-subalgebra of } A\}$.

Let $\mathbb{C} := \mathcal{C}(A)^{\text{op}}$ and $\mathbb{D} = \Sigma$ the spectrum of the Bohrification.

We compute $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D}$:

The objects (forcing conditions): (C, u) ,

where $C \in \mathcal{C}(A)$ and $u \in \Sigma(C)$.

Information order $(D, v) \leq (C, u)$ as $D \supset C$ and $v \subset u$.

Covering relation $(C, u) \triangleleft (D_i, v_i)$: for all i , $C \subset D_i$ and

$C \Vdash u \triangleleft V$, where V is the pre-sheaf generated by the conditions $D_i \Vdash v_i \in V$. This is a Grothendieck topology.

Theorem

*The points of the locale generated by $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D}$ are consistent ideals of **partial** measurement outcomes.*

Proof: the sites give a direct description of the geometric theory
For $C(X)$, the points are points of the spectrum of a **sub**algebra.

Measurements

In algebraic quantum theory, a measurement is a (maximal) Boolean subalgebra of the set of projections of a von Neumann algebra. The outcome of a measurement is the consistent assignment of either 0 or 1 to each element (test, proposition) of the Boolean algebra: an element of the Stone spectrum.

Measurements

In algebraic quantum theory, a measurement is a (maximal) Boolean subalgebra of the set of projections of a von Neumann algebra. The outcome of a measurement is the consistent assignment of either 0 or 1 to each element (test, proposition) of the Boolean algebra: an element of the Stone spectrum.

C^* -algebras need not have enough projections. One replaces the Boolean algebra by a commutative C^* -subalgebra and the Stone spectrum by the Gelfand spectrum.

Definition

A *measurement outcome* is a point in the spectrum of a maximal commutative subalgebra.

How to include maximality?

Eventually

We are only interested in what happens eventually, for large subalgebras: consider $\neg\neg$ -topology.

Extra: allows classical logic internally (Boolean valued models).

Eventually

We are only interested in what happens eventually, for large subalgebras: consider $\neg\neg$ -topology.

Extra: allows classical logic internally (Boolean valued models).

The **dense topology** on a poset P is defined as $p \triangleleft D$ if D is dense below p : for all $q \leq p$, there exists a $d \in D$ such that $d \leq q$.

This topos of $\neg\neg$ -sheaves satisfies the axiom of choice.

Eventually

We are only interested in what happens eventually, for large subalgebras: consider $\neg\neg$ -topology.

Extra: allows classical logic internally (Boolean valued models).

The **dense topology** on a poset P is defined as $p \triangleleft D$ if D is dense below p : for all $q \leq p$, there exists a $d \in D$ such that $d \leq q$.

This topos of $\neg\neg$ -sheaves satisfies the axiom of choice.

The **associated sheaf** functor sends the presheaf topos \hat{P} to the sheaves $\text{Sh}(P, \neg\neg)$.

The sheafification for $V \rightsquigarrow W$:

$$\neg\neg V(p) = \{x \in W(p) \mid \forall q \leq p \exists r \leq q. x \in V(r)\}.$$

Eventually

The covering relation for $(\mathcal{C}(A), \neg\neg) \times \underline{\Sigma}$ is $(C, u) \triangleleft (D_i, v_i)$ iff $C \subset D_i$ and $C \Vdash u \triangleleft V_{\neg\neg}$, where $V_{\neg\neg}$ is the sheafification of the presheaf V generated by the conditions $D_i \Vdash v_i \in V$. Now, $V \mapsto L$, where L is the spectral lattice of the presheaf \underline{A} .

$$V_{\neg\neg}(C) = \{u \in L(C) \mid \forall D \leq C \exists E \leq D. u \in V(E)\}.$$

So, $(C, u) \triangleleft (D_i, v_i)$ iff

$$\forall D \leq C \exists D_i \leq D. u \triangleleft V(D_i).$$

Theorem

The locale MO generated by $(\mathcal{C}(A), \neg\neg) \times \underline{\Sigma}$ classifies measurement outcomes.

Eventually

The covering relation for $(\mathcal{C}(A), \neg\neg) \times \underline{\Sigma}$ is $(C, u) \triangleleft (D_i, v_i)$ iff $C \subset D_i$ and $C \Vdash u \triangleleft V_{\neg\neg}$, where $V_{\neg\neg}$ is the sheafification of the presheaf V generated by the conditions $D_i \Vdash v_i \in V$. Now, $V \mapsto L$, where L is the spectral lattice of the presheaf \underline{A} .

$$V_{\neg\neg}(C) = \{u \in L(C) \mid \forall D \leq C \exists E \leq D. u \in V(E)\}.$$

So, $(C, u) \triangleleft (D_i, v_i)$ iff

$$\forall D \leq C \exists D_i \leq D. u \triangleleft V(D_i).$$

Theorem

The locale MO generated by $(\mathcal{C}(A), \neg\neg) \times \underline{\Sigma}$ classifies measurement outcomes.

$$MO(\mathcal{C}(X)) = X!$$

Theorem (Kochen-Specker)

Let H be a Hilbert space with $\dim H > 2$ and let $A = B(H)$. Then the $\neg\neg$ -sheaf Σ does not allow a global section.

Conclusions

Bohr's doctrine suggests a functor topos making a C^* -algebra commutative

- ▶ Spatial quantum logic via topos logic
- ▶ Phase space via internal Gelfand duality
- ▶ Intuitionistic quantum logic
- ▶ Spectrum for non-commutative algebras.
- ▶ States (non-commutative integrals) become internal integrals.

Classical logic and maximal algebras