

# Advanced features in Haskell

Anders Møller  
amoeller@cs.au.dk

## Overview

- Building abstractions using **higher-order** functions, **polymorphic** functions, and **parameterized** types
- Structuring programs using **type classes** and **modules**
- **Lazy evaluation** – the evaluation strategy used in Haskell
- **Proving properties** of programs in a purely functional language
- **Side-effects** in a purely functional language

2

## Building abstractions using higher-order functions

```
listsum [] = 0
listsum (x:xs) = x + listsum xs

listprod [] = 1
listprod (x:xs) = x * listprod xs
```

- The two functions perform a **similar traversal** of the list, but with different operations being performed
- Let us make an **abstraction** of the traversal!  
(like the visitor pattern)

3

## Building abstractions using higher-order functions

```
fold op init [] = init
fold op init (x:xs) = x `op` fold op init xs

listsum = fold (+) 0
listprod = fold (*) 1
```

- $\text{fold op init } [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \Rightarrow (x_1 \text{ `op` } (x_2 \text{ `op` } \dots (x_n \text{ `op` } \text{init}) \dots))$
- Now the traversal is **separated** from the operations
- `listsum` and `listprod` are now defined more concisely and **without recursion**
- This technique is **very common** in functional programming for all kinds of data structures

4

## Polymorphic functions (parametric polymorphism)

```
map f [] = []  
map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs
```

- What is the type of **map**?
- Possible answers:  
map :: (Integer -> Integer) -> [Integer] -> [Integer]  
map :: (Integer -> String) -> [Integer] -> [String]  
map :: ([String] -> [Integer]) -> [[String]] -> [[Integer]]
- They are all correct!

5

## Type schemes

```
map f [] = []  
map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs
```

- The **principal** type of map:  
**map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]**  
for *any* a and b ← "type variables"
- Type schemes are sometimes written with universal quantifiers:  
 $\forall a,b: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [b]$
- In Java: List<B> map(Map<A,B> f, List<A> zs)

6

## Quiz!

We earlier defined `fold` as follows:

```
fold op init [] = init  
fold op init (x:xs) = x `op` fold op init xs
```

What is the (principal) **type** of `fold`?

Hint: fold is a **polymorphic, higher-order** function

Hint 2: the type must have the form ... -> ... -> ... -> ...

7

## Types of constructors

- Constructors are a special kind of functions

```
data Expr = Const Float  
         | Add Expr Expr  
         | Neg Expr  
         | Mult Expr Expr  
  
x = eval (Add (Const 3.0) (Const 4.0))
```

- Const :: Float -> Expr
- Add :: Expr -> Expr -> Expr
- Neg :: Expr -> Expr
- Mult :: Expr -> Expr -> Expr

8

## Building abstractions using parameterized types

```
abstract class Expr {}

class Const extends Expr {
  Float x;
  Const(Float x) {this.x=x;}
}

class Add extends Expr {
  Expr e1,e2;
  Add(Expr e1,Expr e2) {this.e1=e1;
                       this.e2=e2;}
}

...
```

9

## Building abstractions using parameterized types

- Type definitions can be parameterized

```
data Expr = Const Float
          | Add Expr Expr

data Expr a = Const a
            | Add (Expr a) (Expr a)
            | Neg (Expr a)
            | Mult (Expr a) (Expr a)

type FloatExpr = Expr Float
```

- Now Expr is a *parameterized* type
  - it takes a type as “argument” and “returns” a type
- Like generic classes in Java!

10

## Building abstractions using parameterized types

```
abstract class Expr<A> {}

class Const<A> extends Expr<A> {
  A x;
  Const(A x) {this.x=x;}
}

class Add<A> extends Expr<A> {
  Expr<A> e1,e2;
  Add(Expr<A> e1,Expr<A> e2) {this.e1=e1;
                             this.e2=e2;}
}

...
```

11

## Terminology review

- **Higher-order function:** a function that takes another function as argument and/or returns one as result
- **Polymorphic function:** a function that works with arguments of many possible types
- **Type scheme:** a type that involves type variables
  - the type of a polymorphic function is a type scheme
- **Parameterized type:** a type that takes another type as “argument” and “returns” a type
  - their constructors are often polymorphic functions

12

## Overview

- Building abstractions using higher-order functions, polymorphic functions, and parameterized types
- **Structuring programs using type classes and modules**
- Lazy evaluation – the evaluation strategy used in Haskell
- Proving properties of programs in a purely functional language
- Side-effects in a purely functional language

13

## Qualified types and type classes

- In the type schemes we have seen, the type variables are **universally quantified**  
(example: `map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]` )
- ...in other words, the definition of `map` can assume *nothing* of the corresponding input
- What is the (principal) type of `qsort`?
  - we want it to work on **any list whose elements are comparable**,
  - but require nothing else
- The solution: qualified types!

14

## The type of qsort

```
qsort [] = []
qsort (x:xs) =
  qsort lt ++ [x] ++ qsort greq
  where lt = [y | y <- xs, y < x]
        greq = [y | y <- xs, y >= x]
```

```
qsort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
```

- The type variable `a` is now **qualified** with the **type class** `Ord`
- Now `qsort` works with any list whose elements are instances of the `Ord` type class!

15

## Type classes and instances

```
class Ord a where
  (<) :: a -> a -> Bool
  (>=) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

← defines a *type class* named **Ord**

```
data Person = Student Name Score
type Name = String
type Score = Integer

lowerScore :: Person -> Person -> Bool
lowerScore (Student n1 s1) (Student n2 s2) = s1 < s2
```

```
instance Ord Person where
  x < y = lowerScore x y
  x >= y = not (lowerScore x y)
```

← makes *Person* an *instance of Ord*

(The actual `Ord` type class in the standard prelude is a little longer)

16

## More about type classes

- Haskell's type class mechanism has some parallels to **Java's interface classes**
- Ad-hoc polymorphism (also called **overloading**)
  - for example, the < and >= operators are overloaded
  - the instance declarations control how the operators are implemented for a given type

17

## Standard type classes

- Ord – used for totally ordered data types
- Show – like an interface for Java's toString()
- Eq – equality (==, /=)
- Num – functionality common to all kinds of numbers
- ...

18

## Example: equality on booleans

```
data Bool = True | False
```

```
class Eq a where  
  (==) :: a -> a -> Bool  
  (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

```
instance Eq Bool where  
  True == True = True  
  False == False = True  
  _ == _ = False  
  x /= y = not (x == y)
```

(how is **not** defined?)

19

## Quiz!

1. In what sense is qsort **polymorphic**?
2. What are **qualified** types used for?

20

## Modules

- Modularization features provide
  - **encapsulation**
  - **reuse**
  - **abstraction**
- A module **requires** and **provides** functionality



- In Haskell:

```
module Calculator (Expr,eval,run_gui) where
import Math
import Graphics
...
```

21

## Overview

- Building abstractions using higher-order functions, polymorphic functions, and parameterized types
- Structuring programs using type classes and modules
- **Lazy evaluation – the evaluation strategy used in Haskell**
- Proving properties of programs in a purely functional language
- Side-effects in a purely functional language

22

## Call-by-value vs. call-by-need

```
switch n e1 e2
| n > 0 = e1
| otherwise = e2
```

- How is `switch m (f x) (g y)` evaluated?
- In Java:  
(where the expression looks like `switch(m, f(x), g(y))`)
  - *first* evaluate each argument
  - *then* evaluate the function body with the argument values in place of the formal parameters
- In Haskell:
  - start evaluating the function body
  - evaluate the arguments “**by need**”

23

## Lazy evaluation

```
switch n e1 e2
| n > 0 = e1
| otherwise = e2
```

- How is `switch m (f x) (g y)` evaluated?
- *Evaluation is lazy!*
  - e.g. `(f x)` is only evaluated at runtime if actually needed
  - if the body uses the argument multiple times, it is only evaluated once

24

## Streams – a typical use of laziness

- A *producer* of an **infinite stream** of integers:

```
fib = 1:fib2
fib2 = 1:fib3
fib3 = add fib fib2
  where add (x:xs) (y:ys) = (x+y) : add xs ys
```

- A *consumer* of an infinite stream of integers:

```
consumer stream n =
  if n == 1 then show head
  else show head ++ ", " ++ consumer tail (n-1)
  where head:tail = stream
```

- consumer fib 10 ⇒  
"1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55"

25

## Drawbacks of lazy evaluation

- Compared to eager evaluation:
  - More difficult to reason about performance (time and space)
  - Runtime overhead

26

## Overview

- Building abstractions using higher-order functions, polymorphic functions, and parameterized types
- Structuring programs using type classes and modules
- Lazy evaluation – the evaluation strategy used in Haskell
- **Proving properties of programs in a purely functional language**
- Side-effects in a purely functional language

27

## Proving properties of programs

- Last week we saw two definitions of 'reverse':

```
reverse1 [] = []
reverse1 (x:xs) = reverse1 xs ++ [x]
```

```
reverse2 zs = rev2 [] zs
  where rev2 acc [] = acc
        rev2 acc (x:xs) = rev2 (x:acc) xs
```

- How do we **prove** that these two definitions are functionally equivalent?

28

## Proof by induction

- We want to show:  $\forall as: \text{reverse1 } as = \text{reverse2 } as$   
i.e.  $\forall as: \text{reverse1 } as = \text{rev2 } [] as$
- The functions work by recursion, so let's try the proof technique of **induction!**
- During the recursion, *acc* can be anything so we need to generalize the statement slightly:  
 $\forall as, acc: \text{reverse1 } as ++ acc = \text{rev2 } acc as$

```
reverse1 [] = []  
reverse1 (x:xs) = reverse1 xs ++ [x]
```

```
reverse2 zs = rev2 [] zs  
  where rev2 acc [] = acc  
        rev2 acc (x:xs) = rev2 (x:acc) xs
```

29

## Reasoning in a purely functional language

What to learn from the proof:

- Reasoning in a purely functional language means that
  - we do not have to consider potential side-effects
    - modification of global state
    - exceptions
    - aliasing
    - ...
  - we do not have to consider order or duplication of execution steps
- ... and this is of course also useful when reasoning less formally

30

## Overview

- Building abstractions using higher-order functions, polymorphic functions, and parameterized types
- Structuring programs using type classes and modules
- Lazy evaluation – the evaluation strategy used in Haskell
- Proving properties of programs in a purely functional language
- **Side-effects in a purely functional language**

31

## Side-effects in a purely functional language

### NOTICE:

- The following four slides do not go into the same level of detail as the rest of the lecture
- The intention of showing them is to indicate why Haskell is more than just a toy programming language...

32

## Side-effects in a purely functional language

- We really need side-effects in practice!
  - I/O (communication with the outside world)
  - exceptions
  - mutable state
  - ...
- *How can such **imperative** features be incorporated in a **purely functional** language?*

33

## The IO type class

- **Action**: a special kind of value
  - e.g. read from keyboard or write to a file
  - must be ordered in a well-defined manner for program execution to be meaningful
- **Command**: expression that evaluates to an action
- IO  $T$ : the type of a command that yields a value of type  $T$ 
  - `getLine :: IO String`
  - `putStr :: String -> IO ()`
- Sequencing IO operations:
  - `(>>=) :: IO a -> (a -> IO b) -> IO b`

34

## Example of command sequencing

- First read a string from input, then write a string to output:

```
getLine >>= \s -> putStr ("Simon says: " ++ s)
```

- An alternative, more convenient syntax:

```
do s <- getLine
  putStr ("Simon says: " ++ s)
```

- This looks very "imperative", but all the side-effects are controlled via the IO type class!

35

## Monads – the magic of Haskell

- IO is merely an instance of the more general type class **Monad**
- Another application of Monad is for simulating mutable state
- The concept of *monads* comes from a branch of mathematics known as category theory...

36

## Summary

- **Higher-order functions, polymorphic functions,** and **parameterized types** are useful for building abstractions
- **Type classes** and **modules** are useful mechanisms for structuring programs
- **Lazy evaluation** allows programming with infinite data structures
- Reasoning about program behavior is often easier when the language is **purely functional**
- Using **monads**, we can control **side-effects** in a purely functional language

37